

Discussion session: open problems

Q: classify (up to diffeo or symplectic deformation) fillings of any contact manifold not supported by a planar open book (or T^3).

We've seen McDuff and Wendt's theorems; they used some kind of foliation; the index was $n(2-2g) + 2c_1(A) \rightsquigarrow$ when genus bigger, the index drops, so it's hard to find foliations.

Theorem: If (Y, ξ) has a filling (W, ω) with $b_+^2(W) > 0$ or $b_0^2(W) > 0$ or $c_1(W, \mathcal{J}) \neq 0$, then (Y, ξ) is not planar.

Idea: $W \supset \mathbb{R}P^2$; find spheres in $S^2 \times D^2$. manifolds with boundary can have indefinite part.
By rational ruled theorem, this is a blow-up of $S^2 \times S^2$, so $W \subset \#_n \mathbb{R}P^2$, so need $b_+^2 > 0$. "B"

Rem: $ind = n(2-2g) + 2c_1(A) = (n+2)(2-2g) + 2A \cdot A$ by adjunction. If we want foliation, $A \cdot A = 0$, so the index is negative for g larger than 1.

For many many examples of higher genus OBD, there are infinitely many minimal Stein fillings; could have (Yasui)

- ∞ 's many distinguished by H_2
- ∞ 's many Stein fillings all homeo but not diffeo: (Etnyre - Akhmedov - Smith - Park), (Baykur) by LF, (van Horn Morris)

Rem: there is no homeo class of 4-folds where we have a classification of the smooth type.

Q: when does (Y, ξ) admit only finitely many fillings?

- > Planar case: are there ∞ 's many factorizations of monodromy? We know it's true for lens spaces, Seifert fibered spaces, ... But that's pretty much it.
- > Genus 1: have certain types of bounds for fillings \rightsquigarrow hope.
- > Genus ≥ 2 : gets out of control. But, see next question.

Q: given (Y, ξ) , what is the minimal genus of a supporting OBD?

Can always stabilize to raise genus, but there should be a minimal one. By Wendt, some things have minimal genus > 0 (fillings of planar are neg def and $c_1 = 0$)

Open question: does there exist (Y, ξ) with min. genus ≥ 2 ?
Canonical set of examples that people think might work: page = genus g with 1 ∂ component, monodromy = Dehn twist along boundary.

Rem: no formal obstruction to this: OT mflds admit planar OBD.

Q: is there a homology 3-sphere which is Stein fillable and supported by a planar OBD (other than S^3)?

To "destabilize": check out [Ward]'s stuff. He developed some technology to detect tightness from monodromy. He proved that Legendrian surgery preserves tightness.

Q: can you restrict the topology of the fillings of some contact 3-mfld in any way?

If (Y, ξ) has a contractible Stein filling, does this rule out some possibilities for (Y, ξ) ?

Conjecture (Gompf): no Brieskorn sphere has a contractible Stein filling.

Possible approach: find a nice cap, and use some 4-mfld theorem (Donaldson's diagonalization) or symplectic theorem (DeDeuff's rational ruling).

Q: if (X^4, ω) has $c_1(TX, J) = 0$ and $H_*(X; \mathbb{Z}) = H_*(K3; \mathbb{Z})$, is it true that $X \cong K3$ diffeomorphism or symplectomorphism?

More generally, are there $(X_1, \omega_1) \not\cong (X_2, \omega_2)$ but $X_1 \cong X_2$ sending $c_1(\omega_1)$ to $c_1(\omega_2)$? There are ^{symp def equiv} examples without the ^{diff} c_1 condition.

\exists examples of Leg knots with same Θ and not not Leg isotopic; look at Stein mflds obtained from attaching handles to these. See [Etnyre-Honda]'s "Cable of torus knots" or [Tosun].

Q: \exists ? Liouville cobordism structure on $[0, 1] \times Y^3$ which is not $\lambda = e^a$. Wrong in higher dimensions (DeLean): standard ball in exotic ball. But in dim 4, there is no exotic structures on balls.